## Variation of the Chemical Composition of *Thymus Vulgaris* Essential Oils by Phenological Stages

# CRISTIAN MOISA<sup>1,2</sup>, ANDREEA LUPITU<sup>1,2</sup>, GEORGETA POP<sup>2</sup>, DORINA RODICA CHAMBRE<sup>4</sup>, LUCIAN COPOLOVICI<sup>4</sup>, GABRIELA CIOCA<sup>3\*</sup>, SIMONA BUNGAU<sup>4\*</sup>, DANA MARIA COPOLOVICI<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Aurel Vlaicu University, Faculty of Food Engineering, Tourism and Environmental Protection; Institute for Research, Development and Innovation in Technical and Natural Sciences, Romania, 2 Elena Dragoi Str., 310330, Arad, Romania <sup>2</sup>Banat University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine King Michael 1<sup>st</sup> of Romania from Timisoara, 119 Calea Aradului Str., Timisoara, Romania

<sup>3</sup>Lucian Blaga University of Sibiu, Faculty of Medicine, 10 Victoriei Blvd., 550024, Sibiu, Romania

<sup>4</sup>University of Oradea, Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy, 29 N. Jiga Str., 410028, Oradea, Romania

Thymus vulgaris essential oil is one of the most common oils used mainly in food industry but it could also have applications in medicine and pharmacy. The chemical composition of this essential oil depends on the plant material harvesting time, phenomenological stages, meteorological conditions and growing area of plants. In our study, four different Thymus vulgaris L. var. Donne Valley essential oils have been extracted and characterised. The essential oils have been extracted from plants before and after flowering in two different years. There is an important difference between the chemical composition of oils obtained from plants harvested before and after flowering. Thymol and carvacrol have been found as the major components, but the percentage depends on the phenological stages of the plants and the year of harvesting. Furthermore, the composition of the minor components changed.

Keywords: essential oils, chemical composition, Thymus vulgaris L., phenological stages of plants

A lot of papers in the scientific literature focus on the content of essential oils and other components (especially antioxidant and therapeutics) existing in different parts of plants [1-7], these oils having numerous and diverse uses since ancient times [8]. *Thymus vulgaris* L. var. *Donne Valley* is a woody-based perennial evergreen subshrub rich in essential oil stored in glandular peltate trichomes located on both sides of the leaves, that blooms in clusters of pink and purple flowers in early summer (June and July) [9-13]. It belongs to the *Lamiaceae* family and is indigenous to the southern part of Europe and the Mediterranean area [6]. Both thymi herba (aerial plant parts) and thymi aetheroleum (thyme essential oil) are used in food industry as spices, pharmaceutics and medicine, cosmetics and perfumery [9,10,14-17].

The pharmacological properties of thyme essential oil rely mostly on the phenolic monoterpenes thymol and carvacrol which are the major components found, but different chemotypes can also appear depending on the harvesting time, phenological stages, altitude, weather conditions [10,18]. These monoterpenic phenols act as natural bioactive compounds with strong antibacterial, antifungal, antitumor, anti-inflamatory, antiviral and antioxidant properties [9,13,18-22].

Chemical polymorphism is usual in *Lamiaceae* family when one or more chemotypes have been observed in *Thymus* species in accordance with the major component determined in the essential oil [23,24]. Thymol and carvacrol are the most frequent chemotypes of thyme species indicating them as major components of thyme essential oils, having the same molecular weight (M=150 g.mol<sup>-1</sup>) but with a different OH group position at the phenolic ring (meta and ortho). Thymol and carvacrol are attended by two precursors: p-cymene and  $\gamma$ -terpinene [10, 25]. The aim of this study was to analyze the variations in chemical composition depending on phenological stages and different vegetation cycles (over a period of two years) for *Thymus vulgaris* L. var. *Donne Valley*. For this purpose, essential oils were obtained before and after flowering stages in two different years (2017 and 2018) under different meteorological conditions. The results obtained in this paper are meant to complete previous work reported by other authors [10,14,16,19,20,23] in relation to occurring differences in the chemical composition of thyme essential oils influenced by phenological or weather conditions.

## **Experimental part**

## Materials and methods

Plant material

Aerial parts (thymi herba) of *Thymus vulgaris* L. var. *Donne Valley* were harvested in two different phenological stages: in late May before flowering and in June after flowering in the course of two different years (2017 and 2018) from Lovrin Agricultural Research and Development Resort, Lovrin commune, Timis county - 20°47' E longitude and 45°57' N latitude. Gathered plant material was placed in a well-ventilated room, away from direct sunlight, to airdry for a period of two weeks. Dried plant material was stored in untreated brown paper bags until essential oil extraction and further analysis were performed. For each phenological stage a sample of the specimen was kept at the Institute of Technical and Natural Sciences Research-Development-Innovation of *Aurel Vlaicu* University of Arad.

## Essential oil extraction

Leaves and flowers were removed from the aerial parts of thymi herba and were placed on the grid found in the column of a 5L copper alembic distillation equipment to be subjected to steam distillation. The essential oil and

All authors have equal contribution at this original paper.

<sup>\*</sup> email: gabriela.cioca@ulbsibiu.ro; simonabungau@gmail.com;

Name		Year - x	Phenologi	cal stage - v	Annotation		Table 1
Item   Item   2017     Thymus vulgaris L. var.   2017   2017     Donne Valley   2018   2018		2017	before f	lowering	TDL-2017-BF		ANNOTATIONS FOR DIFFERENT
		after flowering		TDL-2017-AF		THYMUS VULGARIS L. VAR.	
		before f	lowering	TDL-2018-BF		DONNE VALLEY SAMPLES	
		after flowering		TDL-2018-AF			
Nr. Crt.	rt. Compound		TDL 2017 BF	TDL 2017 AF	TDL 2018 BF	TDL 2018 AF	Table 2   CHEMICAL COMPOSITIONS   OF THYMUS VULGARIS L. VAR.   DONNE VALLEY ESSENTIAL   OILS EXTRACTED IN   DIFFERENT   PHENOMENOLOGICAL   STAGES
1	alpha-Thujene		0.58	0.96	0.99	1.37	
2	alpha-Pinene		0.34	0.71	0.49	0.8	
3	Camphene		0.22	0.58	0.56	1.34	
4	3-Octanone		2.09	2.41	2.32	3.78	
5	1-Octen-3-ol		0.44	0.31	0.93	nd	
6	beta-Pinene		1.04	0.69	1.36	0.9	
7	beta-Myrcene		nd	nd	0.75	0.55	
8	3-Octanol		0.36	0.36	nd	nd	
9	alpha-Phellandrene		0.16	0.27	0.54	nd	
10	alpha- Terpinolen		1.38	1.82	2.41	1.84	
11	p-Cymene		10.59	21.58	7.63	30.75	
12	1,3,8-p-Menthatriene		0.51	0.79	0.87	0.7	
13	gamma-Terpinene		11.88	9.46	18.67	9.74	
14	Sabinene hydrate		0.69	3.81	0.72	3.05	
15	Linalool		0.1	0.18	nd	nd	
16	endo-Borneol		0.49	1.2	nd	nd	
17	Isoborneol		0.25	0.41	0.88	1.08	
18	cis-Sabinene hydrate		nd	nd	0.89	1.5	
19	Thymol methyl ether		4.94	9.36	4.81	5.96	
20	Carvacrol methyl ether		5.13	3.96	2.88	5.86	
21	Thymol		42.35	28.04	46.74	24.86	
22	Carvacrol		5.67	3.78	2.85	2.91	
23	beta-Bourbonene Caryophyllene		0.17	0.17	nd	nd	
24			3.54	2.82	1.02	nd	
25	beta-Copaene		0.12	0.06	nd	1.27	
26	Humulene		0.18	0.14	nd	nd	
27	gamma-Muurolene Germacrene D		0.29	0.23	0.71	nd	
28			0.13	0.46	nd	0.63	
29	gamma-El	gamma-Elemene		0.47	nd	nd	
30	Isocaryophyllene		4.38	2.94	0.98	1.11	
31	Isogermacrene D		0.51	0.29	nd	nd	
32	delta-Cadinene		0.68	0.39	nd	nd	
33	Caryophyllene oxide		0.13	0.35	nd	nd	
34	Hydrothymoquinone		0.29	1	nd	nd	

# DIFFERENT

nd - not detected.

hydrolate mixture were placed in a separator funnel and left to separate in two layers based on their density. The collected pure essential oil was stored at 4°C in brown glass vials until further analysis.

## Annotations

Essential oil of Thymus vulgaris L. var. Donne Valley was denoted as TDL-x-y, where x stands for year of harvest and y stands for phenological stage, as is presented in table 1.

## GC-MS analysis

The chemical composition for all TDL-x-y essential oil samples were determined by gas chromatography 634

(Shimadzu 2010, Kyoto, Japan) coupled with mass spectrometer (TQ 8040, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). The column was an Optima 1MS+WAX column (30 m x 0.25 mm i.d., 0.25 µm film thickness, Macherey-Nagel, Duren, Germany). As a carrier gas, He was used at 1 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. The initial temperature of the oven was 70°C (for 11 min); it was raised to 190°C at a rate of 5°C min-1, then to 240 °C at a rate of 20°C min<sup>-1</sup> and left for 5 min. Injector temperature was 250°C and MS source temperature was 200°C. The volume of injection was 1 µL with a split ratio of 10:1. TDL-x-y compounds have been identified based on their mass spectra using NIST 14 library and Wiley 09 library.

## ATR-FTIR analysis

Essential oil samples were analyzed by FT-IR and their spectra were recorded using a Bruker Vertex 70 spectrophotometer equipped with an ATR cell on the 600-4000 cm<sup>-1</sup> wavelength range, a 4 cm<sup>-1</sup> resolution and 32 scans. Background measurements were performed before each analysis. Normalization (min-max) and baseline corrections were applied, and processing of the spectra was performed using OPUS software.

#### **Results and discussions**

## Essential oil composition

The yield for extraction of essential oils TDL-2017-BF/ AF was  $\sim 0.9\%$  and for TDL-2018-BF/AF was  $\sim 0.3\%$ . The chemical compositions of those oils are presented in table 2.

In the samples 34 constituents were identified; sixteen of them have been found to be present in all stages. Thymol was the major component of all essential oils, and the highest amount (46.74 %) was observed in TDL 2018 BF. Other constituents were also identified in significant amounts in essential oils analyzed: *p*-cymene (30.75%), gama-terpinene (18.67%), thymol methyl ether (9.36%), carvacrol methyl ether (5.86%), carvacrol (5.67%), isocaryophyllene (4.38%), sabinene hydrate (3.81%), 3-octanone (3.78%), alpha-terpinolene (1.84%), beta-pinene (1.36%), camphene (1.34%).

Based on literature, essential oils of *Thymus* species have been known to have six chemotypes, containing as major component a phenolic derivative (thymol or carvacrol) or an alcohol (linalool, geraniol, thujanol-4 or áterpineol). It is difficult to have a precise delimitation of chemotypes, therefore for essential oils, the distinction is done in accordance to the major compound detected in the essential oil, but one should also take into consideration the biogenetic pathways [26, 27]. During phenological stages the chemical composition of the essential oil changes, influencing the percentage of major compounds. Differences were observed in the essential oil chemical composition before and after flowering stages from two consecutive years taken into this study. Differences are depicted in figure 1 and 2. As it can be observed in figure 1, the chemical composition of TDL-2017-BF/AF changes between flowering and after flowering stages influencing the percentage of several compounds either by increasing or decreasing it. Thymol, which is the major compound found in TDL essential oil before flowering, decreased from 42.35% to 28.04% after flowering. Furthermore, other compounds decreased in the same pattern, as follows: gamma-terpinene (11.88% to 9.46%), carvacrol (5.67% to 3.78%), carvacrol methyl ether (5.13% to 3.96%), isocaryophyllene (4.38% to 2.94%), caryophyllene (3.54% to 2.82%), beta-pinene (1.04% to 0.69%). The compounds that increased in percentage from before flowering to after flowering stages were the following: *p*-cymene (10.59% to 21.58%), thymol methyl ether (4.94% to 9.36%), sabinene hydrate (0.69% to 3.81%), 3-octanone (2.09% to 2.41%) and others in smaller rates.

The chemical composition of TDL-2018-BF/AF also have shown modifications mainly in the major compounds. Furthermore, fewer compounds were detected compared with the essential oils from 2017 (table 1).

Thymol, as the major compound found, decreased from 46.74% to 24.86% and other compounds decreased as follows: gamma-terpinene (18.67% to 9.74%), alpha-terpinolen (2.41% to 1.84%), beta-pinene (1.36% to 0.9%) and beta-myrcene (0.75% to 0.55%). In contrast, the percentage of the following compounds increased: p-cymene from 7.63% to 30.75%, 3-octanone (2.32% to 3.78%), sabinene hydrate (0.72% to 3.05%), thymol methyl ether (4.81% to 5.96%), carvacrol methyl ether (2.88% to 5.86%), carvacrol (2.85% to 2.91%), and isocaryophyllene (0.98% to 1.11%). Furthermore, there are different compounds which could not be detected after flowering like: beta-myrcene, 3-octanol, linalool, endoborneol, cissabinene hydrate, beta-bourbonene, humulene, gamma-elemene, isogermacrene D, delta-cadinene, caryophyllenne oxide and hydrothymoquinone.

As it is presented in table 1 and figures 1 and 2, the chemical composition of *Thymus vulgaris* L. var. *Donne Valley* essential oil changes from one phenological stage to another giving options on choosing the ideal harvesting time.





Fig.3. FT-IR spectra of TDL-x-y essential oil samples

## ATR-FTIR analysis

ATR-FTIR spectroscopy is a simple, sensitive, fast and non-destructive method of analysis based on the vibrational spectra recording. As it is known, all major compounds present in essential oils dominate the obtained vibration spectra, while low concentration compounds, hardly influence the ATR-FTIR pathway [29]. In accordance with GC-MS analysis results, the major compounds found in TDL-x-y essential oils are: thymol,  $\gamma$ -terpinene and *p*cymene. A smaller impact could be attributed to: 3octanone,  $\alpha$ -terpinolene, thymol methyl ether, carvacrol methyl ether, carvacrol and isocaryophyllene.

The ATR-FTIR spectra recorded for the TDL-x-y essential oil samples are presented in figure 3, with characteristic bands added. Because essential oils are complex mixtures of compounds, the absorption spectrum for some compounds overlap.

The band seen at 807 cm<sup>-1</sup> is attributed to C-H out-ofplane waging vibrations from thymol and is important in distinguishing the substitution on the aromatic ring for the isomeric compounds carvacrol and thymol. Carvacrol presents a vibration band at 812 cm<sup>-1</sup> [28-30]. Furthermore, thymol characteristic bands were identified at: 946 cm<sup>-1</sup> (wagging vibration of 1:2:4 substitution), 1152 cm<sup>-1</sup> (*meta*substitution) and on 3200-3600 cm<sup>-1</sup> (-OH stretching vibration). Furthermore, the intense bands present in the spectrum at 807, 946, 1042, 1088, 1152 and 1289 cm<sup>-1</sup> indicate thymol as the main component in TDL-x-y essential oil [28].

Other major compounds identified by GC-MS analysis and confirmed by the presence in the ATR-FTIR spectra were identified as follows: *p*-cymene with characteristic bands recorded at 1060 and 1018 cm<sup>-1</sup> attributed to *para*substitution [31];  $\gamma$ -terpinene with characteristic signals recorded at 781, 946 and 2819 cm<sup>-1</sup> [32]. For other terpenoids the following bands have been attributed: caryophyllene at 885 cm<sup>-1</sup>,  $\beta$ -pinene at 873 cm<sup>-1</sup>,  $\alpha$ -pinene at 886 cm<sup>-1</sup>, carvacrol at 812 cm<sup>-1</sup> and  $\alpha$ -terpinolen at 781 cm<sup>-1</sup> [31].

## Conclusions

The present study revealed differences between the chemical compositions of essential oils obtained from plants harvested in different phenological stages and vegetation cycles. For this purpose, essential oils were obtained and analyzed before and after flowering stages in two different years (2017 and 2018). Through GC-MS and ATR-FTIR analysis the chemical composition of *Thymus vulgaris* L. var. *Donne Valley* essential oils was determined. Thymol was found as the major component with a high percentage 46.74% in essential oil obtained before flowering in 2018. This percentage changed through phenological stages and vegetation cycles. Due to the differences between chemical composition in phenological stages and vegetation cycles, and taking into consideration the desired compound of interest, the harvesting time could plan ahead.

Because there is an increasing demand on natural bioactive compounds derived from medicinal plants, *Thymus vulgaris* L. var. *Donne Valley* is a rich source of phenolic monoterpenes with many applications (food, pharmaceutical, cosmetic, perfumery, agriculture, etc).

Acknowledgements: This work was supported by the project PN-III-P1-1.2-PCCDI-2017-0569. Funding for some of the equipment used in the study has been provided by the European Commission and the Romanian Government (project POSCCE 621/2014).

#### References

1.APOSTOL L., BERCA L., MOSOIU C., BADEA M., BUNGAU S., OPREA O.B., CIOCA G., Rev. Chim. (Bucharest), **69**, no. 6, 2018, p. 1398. 2.COPOLOVICI, D., BUNGAU, S., BOSCENCU, R, TIT, D. M., COPOLOVICI, L., Rev. Chim. (Bucharest), **68**, no. 3, 2017, p. 507. 3.COPOLOVICI, L., NIINEMETS, U., Plant Cell Environ., 2010, **33**, p. 1582.

4.GITEA, D., VICAS, S., GITEA, M.A., NEMETH, S., TIT, D.M., PASCA, B., PURZA, L., IOVAN, C. Rev. Chim. (Bucharest), **69**, no. 2, 2018, p. 305. 5.MOSTEANU, D., BARSAN, G., GIURGIU, L., EPURE G., Rev. Chim.-Bucharest, **60**, nr. 3, 2009, p. 290.

6.MOSTEANU, D., BARSAN, G., OTRISAL, P., GIURGIU, L., OANCEA, R., Rev. Chim.(Bucharest), **68**, no. 11, 2017, p. 2499.

7.TOOME, M., RANDJAARV, P., COPOLOVICI, L., NIINEMETS, U., HEINSOO, K., LUIK, A., NOE, S.M., Planta, **232**, nr. 1, 2010, p. 235. 8.BUNGAU S. G., POPA V.-C., Transylv. Rev., **XXIV**, nr. 3, 2015, p. 3. 9.STAHL-BISKUP, E., SAEZ, F., Essential oil chemistry of the genus Thymas - a global view, in Medicinal and Aromatic Plants - Industrial Profiles, Ed. by LANSKY, E.P., CRC Press, London and New York, 2002, p. 75-124. 10.VAICIULYTE, V., BUTKIENE, R., LOZIENE, K., Phytochem., 128, 2016, p. 20.

11.GAVAHIAN, M., FARAHNAKY, A., JAVIDNIA, K., MAJZOOBI, M., Innov. Food Sci. Emerg. Technol., **14**, 2012, p. 85.

12.ASADOLLAHI-BABOLI, M., AGHAKHANI, A., BIKDELOO, V., Food Anal. Methods, **9**, nr. 2, 2016, p. 528.

13.MANDAL, S., DEBMANDAL, M., Essential Oils in Food Preservation, Flavor and Safety, Chapter 94, Ed. by VICTOR, R., Academic Press, San Diego, 2016, p. 825-834.

14.SATYAL, P., MURRAY, B.L., MCFEETERS, R.L., SETZER, W.N., Foods, 5, nr. 4, 2016, p. 12.

15.ASSIRI, A.M., ELBANNA, K., ABULREESH, H.H., RAMADAN, M.F., J. Oleo Sci., **65**, nr. 8, 2016, p. 629.

16.ZRIG, A., TOUNEKTI, T., GAWAD, H.A., HEGAB, M.M., OUELED ALI, S., KHEMIRA, H., Ind. Crops Prod., **91**, 2016, p. 223.

17.PIRBALOUTI, A.G., HASHEMI, M., GHAHFAROKHI, F.T., Ind. Crops Prod., **48**, 2013, p. 43.

18.VARGA, E., BARDOCZ, A., BELAK, A., MARAZ, A., BOROS, B., FELINGER, A., BOSZORMENYI, A., HORVATH, G., Farmacia, **63**, nr. 3, 2015, p. 357.

19.NEZHADALI, A., NABAVI, M., RAJABIAN, M., AKBARPOUR, M., POURALI, P., AMINI., F., Beni-Suef Univ. J. Appl. Sci., **3**, nr. 2, 2014, p. 87.

20.NIKOLIC, M., JOVANOVIC, K.K., MARKOVIC, T., MARKOVIC, D., GLIGORIJEVIC, N., RADULOVIC, S., SOCOVIC., M., Ind. Crops Prod., **61**, 2014, p. 225.

21.TOHIDI, B., RAHIMMALEK, M., ARZANI, A., Food Chem., **220**, 2017, p. 153.

22.TRIPATHI, N.N., MISHRA, A.K., TRIPATHI, S., P. Natl. A. Sci. India B, 81, 2011, p. 23.

23.THOMPSON, J.D., MANICACCI, D., TARAYRE, M., Biosci. J., 48, nr. 10, 1998, p. 805.

24.CLARKE, S., Essential Chemistry for Aromatherapy, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed., Ed. by CLARK, S., Churchill Livingstone, Elsevier, 2009.

25.STAHL-BISKUP, E., SAEZ, F., Essential oil polymorphism in the genus Thymus, in Thyme. The Genus Thymus, 1<sup>st</sup> Ed., Ed. by Lansky, E.P., CRC Press, London, 2003, p. 125-143.

26.CHIZZOLA, R., Regular Monoterpenes and Sesquiterpenes (Essential Oils), in Natural Products: Phytochemistry, Botany and Metabolism of Alkaloids, Phenolics and Terpenes, Ed. by RAMAWAT, K.G., MERILLON, J.-M., Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2013, p. 2973-3008.

27.RUSTAIEE, A.R., MIRAHMADI, S.F., SEFIDKON, F., TABATABEI, M.F., OMIDBAIGI, R., J. Essent. Oil Bear. Pl., **14**, nr. 5, 2011, p. 625.

28.VALDERRAMA, A., DE GANTE, C.R., Am. J. Anal. Chem., 8, nr. 11, 2017, p. 726.

29.TOPALA, C.M., TATARU, L.D., Rev. Chim.(Bucharest), 67, no. 5, 2016, p. 842.

30.SCHULZ, H., BARANSKA, M., Vb. Spectrosc., 43, nr.1, 2007, p. 13.

31.SCHULZ, H.,OZKAN, G., BARANSKA, M., KRUGER, H., OZCAN, M., Vb. Spectrosc., **39**, nr. 2, 2005, p. 249.

32.COATES, J., Interpretation of infrared spectra, a practical approach, in Encyclopedia of Analytical Chemistry, Ed. by MEYERS, R.A., John Wiley & Sons Ltd., New Jersey, 2006, p. 10815-10837.

Manuscript received: 11.09.2018